

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Family Name | Shiels |
| Given Name | Chris |
| Person ID | 1287458 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Shiels |
| Given Name | Chris |
| Person ID | 1287458 |
| Title | Our Vision |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | NA |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | NA |
| Family Name | Shiels |
| Given Name | Chris |
| Person ID | 1287458 |
| Title | JPA 9: Walshaw |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | No thought for supporting infrastructure. All of this land is elevated and groundwater is surcharged during periods of heavy rain. This will only be exacerbated by ANY form of development. Egress from the development is onto local roads North of Bury which already converge at a single point; further development will only add to the traffic woes and create a gridlock. |

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Family Name | Shiels |
| Given Name | Chris |
| Person ID | 1287458 |
| Title | JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | The proposals do not adhere to any of the NPPF. The whole proposal has become, rather shamefully, a political "football" between the two main political parties, with no regards whatsoever to the public and affected residents of the affected areas. |